



F.No.89-34/E-175696/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sri Vivekananda College of Education, Mahabubabad, Eedullapusapally Road, Mahabubabad, Warangal, Telangana dated 06/01/2021 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS03700/B.Ed./{T.S.}/2020/121715 dated 23.12.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Management has not shifted its institution to its own permanent building which is in violation of the NCTE Regulations, 2002, 3(C). The institution has also not responded to the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 21.11.2019."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Ashok Reddy, Secretary and Correspondent, Sri Vivekananda College of Education, Mahabubabad, Eedullapusapally Road, Mahabubabad, Warangal, Telangana presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 25/02/2021, it is submitted that they have sent their reply to the Show Cause Notice dt. 21/11/2019 by their letter dt. 10/12/2019 through professional courier. The appellant enclosed a copy of the receipt issued by professional couriers on 10/12/2019 and also a copy of their reply dt. 10/12/2019. Regarding the ground of not shifting the institution to their own premises, the appellant submitted that they are already running their institution in their own building since 2008 and D.El.Ed. course inspection was also conducted in the new building in the year 2012. The appellant further stated that inspection of the premises was duly conducted. The appellant also stated that the addresses of the society and the college are different; the order pertaining to B.Ed. was sent to the society's address, but both B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. courses are running in the new premises only. The appellant, in their letter dt. 25/02/2021, stated that while their city's name is 'Mahabubabad'; the address in the letters is being typed as 'Mahaboobnagar' as a result the letters are being received late.

*Handwritten signature/initials*



**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the reply of the institution dt. 10/12/2019 to the Show Cause Notice dt. 21/11/2019 is not in the file of the SRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the reply to the Show Cause Notice, to be sent again to them by the appellant, and also the submissions of the appellant about the functioning of their institution in their own premises, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC their reply to the Show Cause Notice again along with all its enclosures as also detailed explanation about shifting of premises, providing documentary evidence, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the reply to the Show Cause Notice, to be sent again to them by the appellant, and also the submissions of the appellant about the functioning of their institution in their own premises, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC their reply to the Show Cause Notice again along with all its enclosures as also detailed explanation about shifting of premises, providing documentary evidence, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Vivekananda College of Education, Mahabubabad, Eedullapusapally Road, Mahabubabad, Warangal, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Sri Vivekananda College of Education, 590/1, 590/1/1, Mahabubabad, Eedullapusapally Road, Mahabubabad, Warangal, Telangana – 506101.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.





F.No.89-39/E-176014/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Blessy College of Education, Chidambaram, Navalingam, Chidambaram, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu dated 22/01/2021 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO8981/TN/B.Ed./2021/123197-203 dated 22.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. The institute failed in submission of certified copies of land documents with notarized English translation in favour of society/institute/trust as required under NCTE Regulations, 2014. 2. The institute had not submitted satisfactory reply of the deficiency related to the variation of survey nos. and dates of issuance of LUCs. 3. The institute submitted the approval of faculty vide dt. 29.12.2015 and failed to submit latest approval of faculty issued by the affiliating university. 4. The faculty was approved in 2015 and it is not established that the same faculty is working as on date or not in the institute. 5. The institute failed in submission of English translation of NEC notarized. 6. As per the building plan submitted by the institute the multipurpose Hall is not available in the institute."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. A. P. Kumar, Administrative Officer and Dr. N. R. Tagore, Registrar, Blessy College of Education, Chidambaram, Navalingam, Chidambaram, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "All deficiencies have been rectified and enclosed are the certified copies of land documents with notarized English translation in favour of trust as required under NCTE Regulations, 2014; the correct survey numbers and dates of issuance of LUC; and the latest approval of faculty issued by the affiliating University in 2015, which faculty is still working in the institute as on date. At present two faculties are changed and replaced and the University latest faculty approved order is enclosed. The English translation of NEC notarized as per NCTE Norms and the Building Plan with Multipurpose Hall are also enclosed. Due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation, they were unable to send properly the documents and now

*[Handwritten signature]*



they rectified and submitted all corrected documents. We request you to kindly consider our appeal favourably and give continuous recognition order."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the withdrawal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider these documents and also a copy of the building completion certificate showing the size of multipurpose hall, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary and also a copy of building completion certificate within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider these documents and also a copy of the building completion certificate showing the size of multipurpose hall, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary and also a copy of building completion certificate within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Blessy College of Education, Chidambaram, Navalingam, Chidambaram, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Blessy College of Education, 7, Chidambaram, Navalingam, Chidambaram, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu – 608302.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-41/E-176072/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Ramji Pawar B.Ed. College, Tadmugli, Aurad–Mamdapur Road, Nilanga, Latur, Maharashtra dated 21/11/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05016/123617/311<sup>th</sup>/2019/206812 dated 14.10.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 27.02.2017. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order.”

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rajendra V. Pawar, President, Ramji Pawar B.Ed. College, Tadmugli, Aurad–Mamdapur Road, Nilanga, Latur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “they have approved staff profile by SRTMU, Nanded (affiliating body) of 2013 and thereafter SRTMU, Nanded University had given every year affiliation on the same approved staff profile from 2015 till current year, the copy of the affiliation is enclosed for your reference as below:- a) Principal post permanent approval letter of University dt.17.06.2010, b) Staff approval letter of University dt. 29.04.2011, c) Asst. staff approval letter of university dt.11.08.2011, d) University affiliation letter for A.Y. 2015-16 dt. 27.05.2015, e) University affiliation letter for A.Y.2016-17 dt. 21.07.2016, f) University affiliation letter for A.Y. dt. 06.06.2017 g) University affiliation letter for A.Y. 2018-19 dt.11.07.2018, h) University affiliation letter for A.Y. 2019-20 dt.19.06.2019, thus it clears that the staff profile is duly approved by the affiliating body for the session 2015-16 as they have given the affirmation for the same academic year and further also. We have uploaded the original scan copy of land use/non-encumbrance certificate (non-agricultural document certified for educational purpose) on NCTE website along with the

*[Handwritten signature]*



building plan and building completion certificate. The copy of same is enclosed herewith this for your reference as below:- a) non-agricultural order of land used for educational purpose issued by Tahesildar of Govt. of Maharashtra, Taluka Nilanga, Dist. Latur dt. 21.08.2010 b) Registered lease deed between Venkatrao R. Pawar & Shree Om Namah Pratishthan for 30 years dt. 20.09.2008 along with 7/12 copy, c) Approved Building Plan, d) Building Completion Certificate issued by the architect. Thus, the originally notarised change of land use/non-encumbrance certificate, building plan and building completion certificate point is also clear.”

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the file of the WRC does not contain any reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice dated 27/02/2017. The appellant, in the appeal, has also not given any explanation about not replying to the said Show Cause Notice.

**AND WHEREAS** in view of the above position, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC, which has been issued on valid and justified ground, confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in withdrawing recognition. The appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC is confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

  
**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
 Member Secretary

1. The President, Ramji Pawar B.Ed. College, 162, Tadmugli, Aurad – Mamdapur Road, Nilanga, Latur, Maharashtra – 413522.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.





F.No.89-42/E-176119/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mohan Shakuntala Teachers Training College, Madhepura, Madhepura, Bihar dated 25/01/2021 is against the decision contained in the Letter No. ERC/274.2.1/ERCAPP201645155 (ID No. 8540)/B.Ed. & D.El.Ed./2019/61358 dated 22.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, confirming their previous decision granting recognition for B.Ed. course with an intake of 50 students on the grounds that "Appellant did not make any representation in compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna in their order dt. 27/03/2019; and (ii) before grant of recognition, Letter of Intent under Clause 7 (13) was issued with 50 intake as per information submitted by the institution and requisite facilities as per NCTE, Regulation, 2014."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. M.P. Yadav, Secretary and Sh. Pramod Kumar, Staff, Mohan Shakuntala Teachers Training College, Madhepura, Madhepura, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution has all the necessary infrastructure for running B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. courses for 100 students i.e. for two basic units. It had made an application for grant of recognition for two basic units but the ERC by order dated 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2018 granted recognition for only one basic unit in both the courses. The Applicant thereafter requested ERC to modify the said order and grant recognition for hundred students but the same was not processed. Even when the Hon'ble Patna High Court directed the Appellant to send the representation and the representation was duly sent by the applicant enclosing the order passed by the Hon'ble Patna High Court and all other documents but subsequently by order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> of August 2019, the said request was turned down by the ERC on the ground that no representation was given by the applicant whereas it is an admitted fact that the applicant has sent the application/representation through DTDC Courier, the receipt of which is enclosed. It



can be verified from the official record of the ERC of NCTE that the said representation was duly received in the office of ERC but the same has not been considered and in a contemptuous manner, the request of the applicant for grant of recognition for two basic units was turned down and the initial order of recognition dated 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2018 has not been interfered. Wrong reasoning has been assigned by the ERC of NCTE to not to proceed with the request of the applicant and as such necessary direction may be given to the ERC to consider my application and take necessary steps in order to save from contempt proceedings as pending before the Patna High Court. The applicant has also filed a contempt petition when the order dated 22nd of August 2019 was issued and the same is pending adjudication but due to the recent pandemic of covid-19, there was a complete lockdown and even the Hon'ble Patna High Court is not functional for the aforesaid cases and the applicant might lose the next academic session also in view of the time schedule and therefore only, the Applicant is approaching this Appellate Tribunal for consideration of the same."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, after grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed. course with an intake of 50 students by the ERC in their order dt. 31/01/2018, filed a case no. 5999 of 2019 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna seeking directions for increasing the intake to 100 students. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dated 27/03/2019, directed the petitioner to file a representation, before the respondent no. 4 viz. the Regional Director, ERC, regarding his grievances raised in the Writ Petition, within a period of four weeks from 27/03/2019 and directed the respondent no. 4 to dispose of the same within a period of eight weeks thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the file of the ERC does not contain any 'representation' from the appellant. The appellant, in the appeal, claimed that he had sent a representation. The appellant, with the appeal enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 06/04/2019 addressed to the Regional Director, ERC as also a copy of DTDC courier's receipt and a copy of a document bearing the stamp of ERC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noted that the letter of the appellant dated 06/04/2019, which the appellant is claiming to be their 'representation', is devoid of any

*11-11-2021*



details, which deserved examination or re-consideration of the matter. The appellant, in that letter, merely enclosing a copy of the Hon'ble Court's order, requested for grant of additional intake.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee also noted from the file of the ERC that the appellant, in their online application dt. 31/05/2016 for grant of recognition indicated that they are seeking recognition for one unit (50) in B.Ed. course and in the affidavit dated 25/09/2017 submitted after the issue of the Letter of Intent dt. 18/07/2017, the appellant mentioned only one unit (50).

**AND WHEREAS** in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of the ERC as contained in their letter dt. 22/08/2019, confirmed. The appellant is at liberty to apply for an additional unit as and when applications are invited by the NCTE.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of the ERC as contained in their letter dt. 22/08/2019, confirmed. The appellant is at liberty to apply for an additional unit as and when applications are invited by the NCTE.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Mohan Shakuntala Teachers Training College, Madhepura, 04, Ward No. 04, Madhepura, Bihar – 852113.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.





F.No.89-43/E-176123/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mohan Shakuntala Teachers Training College, Madhepura, Madhepura, Bihar dated 25/01/2021 is against the decision contained in the Letter No. ERC/274.2.1/ERCAPP201645155 (ID No. 8540)/B.Ed. & D.El.Ed./2019/61358 dated 22.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, confirming their previous decision granting recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an intake of 50 students on the grounds that "Appellant did not make any representation in compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna in their order dt. 27/03/2019; and (ii) before grant of recognition, Letter of Intent under Clause 7 (13) was issued with 50 intake as per information submitted by the institution and requisite facilities as per NCTE, Regulation, 2014."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. M.P. Yadav, Secretary and Sh. Pramod Kumar, Staff, Mohan Shakuntala Teachers Training College, Madhepura, Madhepura, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution has all the necessary infrastructure for running B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. courses for 100 students i.e. for two basic units. It had made an application for grant of recognition for two basic units but the ERC by order dated 31st of January 2018 granted recognition for only one basic unit in both the courses. The Applicant thereafter requested ERC to modify the said order and grant recognition for hundred students but the same was not processed. Even when the Hon'ble Patna High Court directed the Appellant to send the representation and the representation was duly sent by the Applicant enclosing the order passed by the Hon'ble Patna High Court and all other documents but subsequently by order dated 22nd of August 2019, the said request was turned down by the ERC on the ground that no representation was given by the Applicant whereas it is an admitted fact that the Applicant has sent the application/representation through DTDC Courier, the receipt of which is being enclosed

*[Handwritten signature]*



and it can also be verified from the official record of the ERC of NCTE that the said representation was duly received in the office of ERC but the same has not been considered and in a contemptuous manner, the request of the applicant for grant of recognition for two basic units was turned down and the initial order of recognition dated 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2018 has not been interfered. Wrong reasoning has been assigned by the ERC of NCTE to not to proceed with the request of the applicant and as such the necessary direction may be given to the ERC to consider my application and take necessary steps in order to save from contempt proceedings as pending before the Patna High Court. The applicant has also filed a contempt petition when the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> of August 2019 was issued and the same is pending adjudication but due to the recent pandemic of Covid-19, there was a complete lockdown and even the Hon'ble Patna High Court is not functional for the aforesaid cases and the applicant might lose the next academic session also in view of the time schedule and therefore only, the Applicant is approaching this Appellate Tribunal for consideration of the same."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, after grant of recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course with an intake of 50 students by the ERC in their order dt. 31/01/2018, filed a case no. 5999 of 2019 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna seeking directions for increasing the intake to 100 students. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dated 27/03/2019, directed the petitioner to file a representation, before the respondent no. 4 viz. the Regional Director, ERC, regarding his grievances raised in the Writ Petition, within a period of four weeks from 27/03/2019 and directed the respondent no. 4 to dispose of the same within a period of eight weeks thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the file of the ERC does not contain any 'representation' from the appellant. The appellant, in the appeal, claimed that he had sent a representation. The appellant, with the appeal enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 06/04/2019 addressed to the Regional Director, ERC as also a copy of DTDC courier's receipt and a copy of a document bearing the stamp of ERC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noted that the letter of the appellant dated 06/04/2019, which the appellant is claiming to be their 'representation', is devoid of any

K-10/21



details, which deserved examination or re-consideration of the matter. The appellant, in that letter, merely enclosing a copy of the Hon'ble Court's order, requested for grant of additional intake.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee also noted from the file of the ERC that the appellant, noted from the file of the ERC that the appellant, in their online application dt. 31/05/2016 for grant of recognition indicated that they are seeking recognition for one unit (50) in D.El.Ed. course and in the affidavit dated 25/09/2017 submitted after the issue of the Letter of Intent dt. 18/07/2017, the appellant mentioned only one unit (50).

**AND WHEREAS** in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of the ERC as contained in their letter dt. 22/08/2019, confirmed. The appellant is at liberty to apply for an additional unit as and when applications are invited by the NCTE.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of the ERC as contained in their letter dt. 22/08/2019, confirmed. The appellant is at liberty to apply for an additional unit as and when applications are invited by the NCTE.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Mohan Shakuntala Teachers Training College, Madhepura, 04, Ward No. 04, Madhepura, Bihar – 852113.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.





F.No.89-46/E-176211/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Deccan B.Ed. College, Noorani Mohalla, Ganj Branch Post Office, Ring Road, Gulbarga, Karnataka dated 25/01/2021 is against the Order No. F. SRO/NCTE/APSO2336/KA/B.Ed./2021/123254-3261 dated 22.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has submitted photocopy of sale deed. The institution has not submitted certified copy of Registered Land. The institution has submitted an approval letter dt. 05.11.2015 signed by the Registrar of Gulbarga University. But, the institution has not submitted proforma of the staff in prescribed format as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 for both B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses. The institution has submitted Land Use Certificate wherein the Survey No. is mentioned as 35/2A, but in the Sale Deed there is no such survey no. was mentioned. The institution has not submitted notarized English version of latest encumbrance certificate duly signed by the concerned competent authority. The Building Plan & Site Plan submitted by the institution is not legible nor approved by the competent authority. The institution has not submitted any details of the website being run by the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Khurshid Khan, Vice President, Deccan B.Ed. College, Noorani Mohalla, Ganj Branch Post Office, Ring Road, Gulbarga, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "The institution has submitted Certified Copy of the land documents obtained from the Sub-Registrar office. SRC has misconstrued it as a xerox copy and made it as a deficiency for withdrawal of recognition. We are submitting the Certified Copy of the Land Documents duly obtained from the Sub-Registrar Office for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. The staff list submitted to SRC was in the prescribed format issued by SRC. The staff list was also duly approved by the

*[Handwritten signature]*



Registrar, Gulbarga University. We are submitting a copy of the approved staff list for kind consideration of Appeal Committee. It is to submit that our institution is having own land in the name of Deccan Education Society consisting of 1 Acre of land in the Sy. No. 34/2. The Survey Number has been mentioned in the first page of the Registered Land Document itself. SRC has failed to notice the Sy. No mentioned in the Sale Deed and made it as a deficiency for withdrawal of recognition. Further, our land is in Sy.No.34/2 in all documents whereas SRC is mentioning it as 35/2A which we are unknown of. We are submitting herewith the Land Use Certificate duly issued by the Commissioner, Gulbarga Development Authority for kind consideration of Appeal Committee. We have submitted the Original ED issued by the Sub-Registrar office. The EC issued is also in English. Whereas SRC has made it as deficiency for withdrawal of recognition. We are submitting herewith a copy of the Nil Encumbrance Certificate and also English Translated version with Notary attestation for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. Our institution has duly submitted the Building Plan and Site Plan duly approved by the President, Kusnoor Gram Panchayat. The Building Plan and Site Plan was clear and legible. SRC has mentioned it as not legible. We are submitting a copy of Building Plan and Site Plan duly approved by the Panchayat for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. Our institution has always been maintaining the exclusive website for our B.Ed. college. We have submitted the details several times earlier also. Whereas SRC has mentioned details are not available. Our institution website is [www.desgulbarga.com](http://www.desgulbarga.com). We request Appeal Committee to kindly consider and accord recognition."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the withdrawal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in the appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee





concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in the appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Deccan B.Ed. College, Noorani Mohalla, Ganj Branch Post Office, Ring Road, Gulbarga, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Vice-President, Deccan B.Ed. College, Noorani Mohalla, 34/2, Ganj Branch Post Office, Ring Road, Gulbarga, Karnataka – 585104.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



F.No.89-47/E-176406/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Nayala College of Education (B.Ed.), Siragate, Tuda Layout, Tumkur, Karnataka dated 16/01/2021 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO7324/KA/B.Ed./2021/123027 dated 18.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not responded to the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 10.09.2020."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Ajesh V.S., Administrative Staff and Sh. Kumar Swamy, Lecturer, Nayala College of Education (B.Ed.), Siragate, Tuda Layout, Tumkur, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Due to Covid-19 we are unable to respond to the final notice." In the course of presentation, the appellant submitted a copy of their letter dated 20/01/2021, enclosing a number of land related and staff related documents vis a vis the deficiencies mentioned in the Show Cause Notices dated 30/10/2019 and 10/09/2020.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. programme since the year 2008 with an intake of 100 seats. The Committee, noting the explanation of the appellant and the reply now submitted concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents now sent by the appellant with their letter dt. 20/01/2021, to be sent and to them and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC their letter dt. 20/01/2021, with all its enclosures, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.


*[Handwritten signature]*



**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents now sent by the appellant with their letter dt. 20/01/2021, to be sent and to them and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC their letter dt. 20/01/2021, with all its enclosures, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Nayala College of Education (B.Ed.), Siragate, Tuda Layout, Tumkur, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

  
**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
 Member Secretary

1. **The Trustee, Nayala College of Education (B.Ed.), Siragate, 1210/1270-2166, Tuda Layout, Tumkur, Karnataka – 572106.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



F.No.89-49/E-176516/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of C.K. Patel College of Primary Teacher Education, Prantu, Taluka Education Society, Prantu, Sabarkantha/Himmatnagar, Gujarat dated 01/02/2021 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/APW02558/322200/P.T.C/321<sup>st</sup>/2020/213313 dated 08.01.2021 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Recognition was granted to the institution on 08.08.2005 on rented premises with a condition that to shift the institution in its own premises within a period of three years from the date of issue of recognition order. The institution has not shifted the institution in its own premises till date. Accordingly, Show Cause Noted was issued to the institution on 27.09.2020. The institution has not submitted the reply of Show Cause Notice till date."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh./Ms. Chandubha K. Patel, Secretary, C.K. Patel College of Primary Teacher Education, Prantu, Taluka Education Society, Prantu, Sabarkantha/Himmatnagar, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant had applied to the Regional Committee for granting recognition to the appellants self financed PTC college as per the provisions of National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 (hereinafter referred to as NCTE Act) and after following the procedure as prescribed under the Act, the appellant College (C. K. Patel Primary Teachers Training College) was granted recognition by order dated 8-8-2005 with an intake capacity of 50 students. Since then, the appellant college is functioning as a PTC. It is to be noted that before granting recognition the whole procedure as prescribed by the act and the Rules and Regulations was followed and on becoming satisfied with all requirements, the recognition was granted. Thereafter on application of the appellant, by order dated 15-9-2006/27-2-2007, NCTE increased the intake capacity by 50 students.

*Handwritten signature in blue ink.*



after inspection of the college and after following the whole procedure as prescribed by the Act and the Rules and Regulations. By order dated 8-4-2011, appellant was permitted to change the type of institution from boys to co-education in one unit. The appellant states that it appears that NCTE (WRC) issued a show cause notice dated 23-1-2012 to the appellant but the said show cause notice was not received by the appellant and therefore no reply was given. Thereafter on the website of the NCTE, the appellant noticed that NCTE in the meeting No. 167 dated 24-26 7 2012 has withdrawn the recognition of the appellant's PTC college. By letter dated 8-8-2012 and 28-8-2012 requested NCTE (WRC) to send the copy of the show Cause Notice as well as any reminders sent to the appellant but no reply was given to the appellant. Appellant received the withdrawal order dated 23-8-2012/5-9-2012 and being aggrieved by the said order the appellant filed an appeal before the Appellate Committee on 18-10-2012 and the appellate authority was pleased to remand the matter for fresh decision by order dated 6-3-2013. Pursuant to the order of the Appellate Authority, WRC issued a communication dated 7-8-2013 requiring the appellant to file a reply within 30 days. The appellant filed the reply dated 2-9-2013. WRC was satisfied by the reply and the recognition of the appellant institution was continued. Thereafter WRC by letter dated 10-6-2014 informed the appellant to renew the FDRs as the same were matured and accordingly the said FDR were renewed. Now again a show cause notice was issued by WRC on the same ground on which earlier show cause notice dated 23-1-2012 was issued that the institution is run in the rented premises and has not applied for shifting to its own premises. The appellant states that the said notice could not be attended as the main person of the institution was out of country. After returning on reading the show cause notice, the appellant inquired and found that in the 321<sup>st</sup> meeting of the committee on 9-11-2020 to 11-11-2020, a decision has been taken on to withdraw the recognition of the appellant college. Being aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the said withdrawal order/decision dated 9-11th Nov.2020."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the ground for withdrawal of recognition, is non-receipt of a reply from the institution to the show cause notice dt. 27/09/2020 and the appellant submitted reasons for not replying, concluded that the


*ty kape*

matter deserved to be remanded to the WRC with a direction to consider the reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice to be sent to them by the appellant, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to send to WRC their reply to the show cause notice dt. 27/09/2020 within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to consider the reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice to be sent to them by the appellant, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to send to WRC their reply to the show cause notice dt. 27/09/2020 within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of C.K. Patel College of Primary Teacher Education, Prantu, Taluka Education Society, Prantu, Sabarkantha/Himmatnagar, Gujarat to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, C.K. Patel College of Primary Teacher Education, Prantu, 1008/1, Station, Prantu Taluka Education Society, Prantu, Sabarkantha/Himmatnagar, Gujarat – 383205.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.





F.No.89-50/E-176594/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Acharya N.G. Ranga College of Education, Chilumuru, Lal Bahadur Gardens, Kolluru, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh dated 31/01/2021 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00372/B.Ed./(AP)/2020/121835 dated 23.12.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Management has not shifted its institution to its own permanent building which is in violation of the NCTE Regulations, 2002, 3(c). The institution has submitted photocopy of LUC but has not showing the Survey no. & Land Area. The institution has not appointed the Faculty for Fine Arts. One faculty Member (Assistant Professor) has been shown appointed after promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2017, and they do not possess NET/Ph.D. qualification as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2017. The institution has not mentioned the website."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. S. Bashu, Representative, Acharya N.G. Ranga College of Education, Chilumuru, Lal Bahadur Gardens, Kolluru, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "SRC vide its order dated 23.12.2020 has withdrawn our recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified / ratified by our institution. In order to appreciate various contentions and averments being raised hereinafter by the Appellant, it is necessary to state the following few relevant facts in brief. That SRC NCTE vide its order dated 25.02.2003 granted recognition to the appellant institution for running the B.Ed. course in the appellant institution. Further, a revised recognition order dated 11.05.2015 was issued to the appellant institution in terms of the revised Regulation. A true copy of the recognition order dated 25.02.2003 and revised recognition order dated 11.05.2015 is enclosed. SRC issued show cause notice dated 06.03.2019 to the appellant institution for submitting the documents. The appellant

*K. J. B. S.*



institution vide its letter dated 01.04.2019 submitted the relevant documents to SRC. Surprisingly, the SRC issued the withdrawal order dated 23.12.2020 without looking into the documents submitted by the appellant institution. It is submitted that the appellant institution is once again submitting the following documents as Enclosure. (i) A copy of lease deed executed for a period of 30 years (ii) Building Completion Certificate (iii) Land Use Certificate (iv) Staff List as per the NCTE norms. It is submitted that thereafter without intimating or issuing any show cause notice, the SRC vide its order dated 23.12.2020 withdraw the recognition. It is submitted that the impugned decision taken by the SRC is completely arbitrary as the SRC did not issue 2nd show cause notice to the petitioner institution, required mandatorily as per SOP issued by the NCTE itself. It is submitted that SRC has taken the impugned decision without observing that the petitioner vide its earlier replies, have already submitted the documents as desired by the SRC vide its show cause notices issued from time to time, and if any document was further required to be submitted on the part of the institution, the institution ought to have been provided an opportunity for submitting the same. It is submitted that SRC was erroneous in taking the impugned decision as SRC ought to have been considered of the Petitioner's case. It is submitted that SRC failed to observe that the Appellant Institution is an old institution and running the other teacher training courses successfully for which the SRC itself had granted its recognition to the institution after being satisfied with infrastructural & instructional facilities available in Appellant Institution. It is submitted that SRC passed the impugned order arbitrarily as the expert team of constituted by SRC itself, conducted the visit of Appellant Institution and verified the building plans approved by the competent authority including other documents and compared them with the infrastructural facilities. It is submitted that the petitioner institution does not lack infrastructural and instructional facilities required as per the NCTE norms. It is submitted that SRC NCTE is wrong in taking the decision of rejection as no show cause notice on the grounds on which rejection order has been decided was issued to the Petitioner institution. It is submitted that withdrawal order issued by the SRC is totally devoid of merit and is not as per statutory provisions, as mandated under NCTE Act, 1993. Appellant Institution is preferring its statutory appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act,





1993. In view of the above, the appeal committee is requested to accept the appeal of the Appellant institution, so that the same be considered by it on merits."

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, with their appeal, has submitted various documents mentioned in para 6 of the Memoranda appeal. These include a Land Use Certificate issued by Panchayat Secretary, indicating the Survey Numbers and a copy of staff profile for the session 2019-20, signed by the Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University, which includes a Lecturer in Fine Arts. These meet the specific grounds mentioned in the withdrawal order. The SRC in their show cause notice dt. 06/03/2019 has not pointed that the institution has not shifted to its own permanent building. The appellant, in their appeal though insisting upon the requirement of a second show cause notice before issuing the withdrawal order as per SOP, has not said anything about shifting of premises. The appellant in the appeal expressed his preparedness to submit documents desired by the SRC, if provided with an opportunity.

**AND WHEREAS** in view of the position stated above, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. If necessary, the appellant may be provided with an opportunity to furnish further explanations/documents. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal; information about website as also their explanation for not shifting to their own premises, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. If necessary, the appellant may be provided with an opportunity to furnish further explanations/documents. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal; information about website as also



their explanation for not shifting to their own premises, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Acharya N.G. Ranga College of Education, Chilumuru, Lal Bahadur Gardens, Kolluru, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Acharya N.G. Ranga College of Education, Chilumuru, Lal Bahadur Gardens, Kolluru, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh – 522301.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.





F.No.89-51/E-176597/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Kalikamba College of Education, Kothapet, Perala, Main Road, Kothapet, Vetapalem, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh dated 25/01/2021 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00299/B.Ed./(AP)/2020/121999 dated 29.12.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Management has not shifted its institution to its own permanent building which is in violation of the NCTE Regulations, 2002, 3(C). The institution has also not responded to the Show Cause Notice issued on 24.10.2019."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Ishwar Kumar, Secretary & Correspondent, Kalikamba College of Education, Kothapet, Perala, Main Road, Kothapet, Vetapalem, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "SRC vide its order dated 24.12.2020 has withdrawn our recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified / ratified by our institution. SRC NCTE vide its order dated 03.03.2003 granted recognition to the appellant institution for running the B.Ed. course in the appellant institution. Further, a revised recognition order dated 12.05.2015 was issued to the appellant institution in terms of the revised recognition. It is submitted that SRC issued show cause notice dated 24.10.2019 to the appellant institution for submitting the documents. Appellant institution vide its letter dated 12.12.2019 submitted the relevant documents to SRC. Surprisingly, the SRC issued the withdrawal order dated 29.12.2020 without looking into the documents submitted by the appellant institution. It is submitted that the appellant institution is once again submitting the documents to satisfy the NCTE appeal committee: a) A copy of land documents b) Building Completion Certificate c) Land Use Certificate d) Building plan e) Staff List as per the NCTE norms. It is submitted that without intimating or issuing any show cause notice, the SRC vide its order dated

*File*



29.12.2020 refused the application. It is submitted that in view of the SOP, SRC ought to have issued another (2nd) show cause notice in light of the show cause notice dated 24.10.2019 before taking the impugned decision of refusal. It is submitted that the SRC failed to observe that petitioner institution ought to have given an opportunity before taking a drastic decision of refusal of recognition as it will cause irreparable loss to aspirant students and to the institution also. It is submitted that the SRC had issued the impugned rejection order dated 29.12.2020 not in consonance with the instructions issued by NCTE for processing the applications of a running institution. It is submitted that SRC passed the impugned order arbitrarily as the expert team of constituted by SRC itself, conducted the visit of Appellant Institution and verified the building plans approved by the competent authority including other documents and compared them with the infrastructural facilities. It is submitted that the petitioner institution does not lack infrastructural and instructional facilities required as per the NCTE norms. No show cause notice on the grounds on which rejection order has been decided was issued to the Petitioner institution. Appellant Institution is hereby preferring its statutory appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993. In view of the above, the appeal committee is requested to accept the appeal of the Appellant institution, so that the same be considered by it on merits."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the order of withdrawal was issued citing two grounds, namely, non-shifting of the institution to its own permanent building and not responding to the Show Cause Notice dt. 24/10/2019. The appellant, in the appeal submitted that they had submitted relevant documents with their letter dt. 12/12/2019. This letter is available in the file of SRC and its receipt also has been acknowledged in the withdrawal orders. In this letter dt. 12/12/2019, the appellant stated that they are submitting the documents referred to therein in obedience to the Show Cause Notice", though the number and date of the Show Cause Notice have not been referred to. This is the factual position as per the records. Regarding the ground of non-shifting of the institution to their own premises, it is seen that this has not been pointed out in the Show Cause Notice dated 24/10/2019. The appellant has also not stated anything about shifting of premises in the appeal, though he has insisted upon issue of a second show cause notice as per the SOP.





**AND WHEREAS** in view of the position stated above, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to provide the appellant within an opportunity to furnish whatever specific information is required, including their response to non-shifting of premises, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to provide the appellant within an opportunity to furnish whatever specific information is required, including their response to non-shifting of premises, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kalikamba College of Education, Kothapet, Perala, Main Road, Kothapet, Vetapalem, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Kalikamba College of Education, Kothapet, Perala, Main Road, Kothapet, Vetapalem, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh – 523157.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-52/E-176648/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of S.M.R.K. Mahila Vidya Vardhaka Sangha College of Education, Aliyabad, Vijayapura, Bijapur, Karnataka dated 05/02/2021 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO1851/B.Ed/KA/393<sup>rd</sup>/2021/122718 dated 08.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has submitted photocopy of R.T.C. and Sale Deed. However, the institution has not submitted certified copy of land document. The land document submitted is in the name of an individual which is not permissible under NCTE Regulations, 2014. 2. The survey no. mentioned in the building plan is 523/2A but the survey no. mentioned in the land document is 253/2A, 253/3A. The Multipurpose hall area is not mentioned in the building plan. 3. The survey no. mentioned in BCC is 253/2A but the survey no. mentioned in the land document is 253/2A, 253/3A. The BCC submitted by the institution is not in the format prescribed by the NCTE. 4. The institution has not submitted Form 'A' issued by the Branch Manager. The FDRs submitted by the institution has been expired. 5. The Built-up area is not readable in the building plan. Multipurpose hall area is not mentioned in the building plan. 6. Date of joining in the faculty list is not mentioned for "Prof. Guruballappa J. Hattalli, Prof. Bandenawaz H. Awati, Prof. Priya Shetti, Prof. Mahadev Shinde, Dr. Vijayalaxmi Ningappa Kenganal, Prof. Sharadabai D Manami, Prof. Jnyaneshwari B Shirahattimath, Prof. Muttappa N Kokatnur, Prof. Sadashic A. Huggi and Prof. Anand Honwad". Faculty list submitted by the institution is not approved by the affiliating body. 8. 3 Lecturers have been shown appointed before promulgation of NCTE Regulations dated 09.06.2017, thus not having NET/Ph.D. as per NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) (Amendment) Regulations, 2017 dated 29.05.2017 notified on 09.06.2017. 9. As per order no. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2004-

*[Handwritten signature]*



2005/8830 dated 30.11.2004 the institution shall shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition. However as per VT report, the institution is not functioning from its own building. And the institution has not clarify the same."

**AND WHEREAS** the representative of S.M.R.K. Mahila Vidya Vardhaka Sangha College of Education, Aliyabad, Vijayapura, Bijapur, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "We have submitted the Sale Deed copy of the Land documents several times. In addition to the Land Documents we have submitted RTC which is Records Certificate issued by the Government of Karnataka. Our institution is having own land in the name of the Trust to an extent of 10 Acres. We are submitting the Certified Copy of the Land Documents and English Translated version with Notary attestation for kind consideration. Our institution is not having any Sy.No.523/2A. We are not able to understand the deficiency pointed out by SRC. Our land which is 10 Acres are in 253/2A and 253/3A only. The Sy.No.523/2A is wrongly mentioned by SRC. Further, our institution is having Multipurpose Hall of 2090 sq.ft which is clearly visible in the approved Building Plan. We are submitting the approved Building Plan for kind consideration. SRC is giving the same Sy.No.253/2A. Both Sy. Nos. 253/2A and 253/3A belong to our institution land which is 10 Acres. Further, the BCC submitted by our institution is the Format given by SRC. We are submitting herewith the BCC for kind consideration Original FDRs of our institution are still with SRC. We have sent letter to SRC requesting to return the FDRs for renewal purposes. But SRC has not sent the Original FDRs till date. Both the original FDRs available with SRC and the total amount of both FDRs cross more than Rs.12 Lakhs. It is to humbly submit that in the Building Plan it is clearly mentioned that the Total Built up area is 29790 sq. ft. which is more than the requirement as per NCTE Regulations. Further, the Multipurpose Hall area of 2090 sq. ft. is clearly mentioned in the Building Plan. We are submitting herewith Building Plan for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. We are submitting herewith the staff list duly approved by the Registrar, Rani Channamma University, Belagavi for kind consideration. Date of Joining of all the staff members are clearly mentioned. The Staff List in the prescribed format of SRC is duly approved by the Registrar, Rani Channamma University, Belagavi. All the

*K. H. S. S.*



lecturers appointed are as per NCTE Regulations. Only after the verification the Registrar, Rani Channamma University has approved the staff profiles. "Our institution has submitted application for shifting in the year 2009 itself vide letter dated.01.10.2009 along with the Fee of Rs.40,000/-. We are submitting herewith the copy of the letter and DD for kind consideration. Further, the SRC has conducted inspection of our own premises on 05.10.2016 for shifting of the college to own campus. Further, additional amount of Rs.1.10 Lakhs towards inspection fee was also submitted during the time of inspection. Inspite of conducting the inspection SRC has not taken it for consideration. Our institution is functioning in the own land and building and same was verified by the VT members also during the inspection on 05.10.2016."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting the documents and explanations, submitted by the appellant vis a vis the grounds mentioned in the withdrawal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents / explanations submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE, Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents / explanations submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE, Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

*Handwritten signature/initials*



**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of S.M.R.K. Mahila Vidya Vardhaka Sangha College of Education, Aliyabad, Vijayapura, Bijapur, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, S.M.R.K. Mahila Vidya Vardhaka Sangha College of Education, Aliyabad, Vijayapura, Bijapur, Karnataka – 586112.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



F.No.89-53/E-176646/2021 Appeal/9 Mtg.-2021/25<sup>th</sup> February, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 26/03/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Jasmine College of Education, Bilal Colony, Chidri Road, Bidar, Karnataka dated 06/02/2021 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO8252/KA/B.Ed./2021/123093 dated 19.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has submitted a lease deed dated 20.08.2005 at the time of recognition with a lease period of 5 years. However, the visiting team mentioned that the institution is running B.Ed. course on its own building. The institution has not submitted the certified copy of Registered Land documents. The institution has not submitted BCC in prescribed format as per NCTE. The Ground Floor having 3357.06 Sq. ft. area is constructed as Zn Sheet which is not permissible under NCTE Regulations. The institution submitted a letter dated 09.10.2020 issued by the Registrar, Gulbarga University in respect of approval of 16 faculty. All the 16 faculty members are shown as "Renewal" in the column "Nature of approval Fresh/Renewal" whereas letter dated 03.11.2016 issued by the Registrar, Gulbarga University was previously submitted by the institution bears approval of only 14 faculty. It is observed that two faculty members namely 'Parvati' and 'Uzma Nikhath' shown as joined on 11.01.2010 which were not approved by the university in 2016 approval. Accordingly, it is established that the institution tried to mislead the SRC on the basis of false information and fabricated documents."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Niranjana S., Director, Jasmine College of Education, Bilal Colony, Chidri Road, Bidar, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted

*[Handwritten signature]*



that "We humbly submit that our institution initially proposed to start in Leased premises. As SRC has informed that the Lease premises is not permissible, we had made arrangements in our own land which was in the name of Jasmine Education and Charitable Trust. At the time of first inspection itself our institution was having own land and own building. The VT team has also inspected the own building and thereafter SRC has granted recognition. The 5 years lease deed does not have any significance but SRC has pointed out the same. Further, we are submitting herewith Certified Copy of the Land documents for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. It is to humbly submit that our institution has submitted BCC in the format prescribed by SRC. further, there is no Zn sheet in our building. Our college building comprises of three floors. SRC has stated that Ground Floor Zn sheet is there. Whereas we are having First and Second Floor. It is not possible to construct first floor on Zn sheet. SRC has totally misconstrued the BCC and it is not true. All the three floors of our building is RCC roofed. We are submitting herewith the Building Completion Certificate issued by the Assistant Executive Engineer, City Municipal Council, Bidar for kind consideration. It is to humbly submit that we have submitted Staff List duly approved by the Registrar, Gulbarga University. The word Renewal is used by the University approval list as the staff are continued in our institution. Further the faculty Mrs. Parvati and Mrs. Uzma Nikhath is our old staff working in our institution. These two staff members were inadvertently left out in the staff list approval in the year 2016. Hence only 14 staff members were shown in the staff list at that time. The same was rectified in the staff list approval obtained in the year 2020. We have not given any false information to SRC till date. Even after submitting the duly approved staff list as per the format of SRC, the SRC has made it as a deficiency for Withdrawal of Recognition which is not true. Our institution is having full complement of staff as per NCTE Regulations. We are submitting herewith the approved Staff List for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee perused the Building completion Certificate submitted by appellant to SRC with its letter dated 10/10/2020. The Building Completion Certificate in the first column mentions the date of inspection by the Engineer as

*10/10/2020*

24/09/2020. The details of built-up area as mentioned in this Building Completion Certificate are as follows:

(i)	Ground Floor	5402 Sq. Feet	R.C.C.
(ii)	Ground Floor (Zi)	3357 Sq. Feet	Z.N.
(iii)	Cellar	1800 Sq. Feet	R.C.C.
(iv)	1 <sup>st</sup> Floor	5957 Sq. Feet	R.C.C.
(v)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	6412 Sq. Feet	R.C.C.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that the appellant submitted a Building Completion Certificate with its appeal memoranda wherein the date of inspection by the Engineer is again mentioned as 24/09/2020. The built-up area, except for cellar floor, has been changed and there is no mention of Z.N. roofing in this Building Completion Certificate. Appellant also stated that it is not possible to construct upper floors on Z.N. Sheet.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, to verify the facts decided to go through the video recordings of C.D. made at the time of inspection done on 4 – 5<sup>th</sup> November, 2016. The video recordings have been furnished by appellant with its letter dated 10/10/2020. Committee noticed that Seminar Hall (Multipurpose), Canteen and Dance room of the appellant institution where Visiting Team was seen inspecting the facilities, are in Z.N. sheets having temporary walls and roofs. The averments made by appellant in its appeal are not substantiated. Appeal Committee relied on the Inspection report and videography recorded at the time of inspection. Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 19/01/2021.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing recognition. The appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.



**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, Jasmine College of Education, Bilal Colony, Chidri Road, Bidar, Karnataka – 585403.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.